

Life Safety in Armed Conflicts and Extreme Situations: Key Challenges and Strategies

Yeganov O., Yevdokymova N.

Pylyp Orlyk International Classical University

Abstract. Conflict and extreme scenarios compromise the safety of life through violence, infrastructure failure, lack of access to basic resources, and psychologic tolls. This paper integrates current findings related to the toll of civilian mortality and morbidity, psychologic consequences carried by women and children, and ripple impacts evolving from deterioration in water, food, housing, and medical infrastructure. It presents an integrated strategy based upon connecting warning messages and seeking shelters in a manner that connects to protective activity as well as building family and community social support as a protective factor through enhancing individual capacities using civil-military-humanitarian coordination through a set of unique skills for participants. Evidence related to natural experiments in Ukraine suggests that credible and timely announcements of danger decrease civilian death tolls—although in conjunction with alarm fatigue—practical shelters work related to warning converging emphasizes context-specific standard warning messages related to use of explosive weapons in populated areas. This text is placed in context as a psychologic intervention integrated with logistics in that anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorders are directly related in terms of outcome as well as interventions related to non-compliance or failure as well as interventions related to medical interventions as a therapeutic objective.

Keywords: life safety; armed conflict; civilians; early warning; sheltering; alarm fatigue; psychosocial support; resilience; civil-military coordination; emergency nursing.

Introduction

Life protection in conflict zones and extreme conditions is not a relationship of need based simply on the number of threatening agents, but a characteristic of systems that assist in warning people of danger in a timely fashion and in leaving them unscathed or in a state that will, at least, suffice until adequate assistance is received. In this work, we will examine that “the increased direct threats of conflict are also eroding infrastructure—health clinics, road networks, power, and access to clean drinking water, telecommunications—necessary for effective protective action.” Moreover, it appears that all attempts at systematic and universal studies in fact reveal “a large number of victims directly and indirectly through conflict,” specifically “women and children bearing a large burden of conflict through violence, infectious diseases, malnutrition, and interrupted care” (Bendavid et al., 2021; Jawad et al., 2020).

Having in mind that conflict experience constitutes a characteristic characteristic of displaced women in conflict areas through which research was carried out, it is clear that “lack of resources intersects with social roles and responsibilities in conflict areas, creating unique patterns of vulnerability and adaptation in conflict affected women’s lives.” Moreover, in such a setting, interventions in nursing and health, intended for children and caregivers, reveal that “protection in conflict zones is not a supplement of good healthy living, but a determinant of it in conflict areas and related conflict zones through conflict experience,” in such a manner that “it is a challenge in conflict areas to understand that through conflict experience in conflict areas, social responsibility is a determinant in conflict zones related to conflict experience” (Vásquez, Reina-Gamba, Daza-Castillo, & Quarantini, 2020).

Discussion

By contrast, “the psychological aftermath of conflict is also materially determinative of safety behaviors” because “anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress syndrome all have prevalence rates in populations affected by crisis that regularly exceed global baselines and in children and women, in particular, are cumulative exposures compounded by continued uncertainty or ambiguity” (Slone & Peer, 2021; Zamora-Moncayo, Burgess, Fonseca, González-Gort, & Kakuma, 2021; Bendavid et al., 2021). In all three conditions, it is clear that it is a matter of more than just post-crisis treatment, because it will “affect fear of danger, adherence to emergency messages, and ability to mobilize social support in real-time moments of crisis” such that all meaningful “safety plans will need to marshal psychosocial as well as material resources” because “cognition and emotion are active variables in crises, not background conditions.”

Early warning systems are exemplary of a technical-behavioral interweaving. In the Russian invasion of Ukraine, public reception of government alerts clearly led to a reduction in lives lost, offering a unique natural experiment in which credibility, timeliness, and prioritized ability were simultaneously factored to mitigate death tolls (Van Dijcke, Wright, & Polyak, 2023). Simulation models indicate that well-targeted alerting and protective action might prevent a third to nearly a full half of possible civilian victims, although this is lessened in a population oversaturated with frequent alerts, a predictable form of alarm fatigue that demands managed thresholds, clear purposes, and public updates to maintain credibility. In a similar vein, a scoping study of civilian shelters for explosive weapons in populated areas identifies a need for standardized, context-informed advice for designing, accessing, and using shelters such that “take shelter” is an actionable message and not just an idle one (Hansen et al., 2025). In sum, warnings are clearly integrated with place and routine as a means of enabling action.

Family and community-level resilience is the second foundation of safety in conflict situations. Qualitative meta-analyses outline how social support, care practices, and community cohesion insulate against hardship and make possible its adaptation in displaced women and children by leveraging common routines—tenuous as they are—to establish an atopia of predictability that enables decision-making (Jolof et al. 2022; Zamora-Moncayo et al. 2021). Research into children’s experience of armed conflict all points to a similar conclusion in that children’s resilience in such conflict is understood not as a non-contextual characteristic hovering above their situation, but as a characteristic of their envioning situation that provides safety signals, order, and avenues of action (Slone & Peer 2021). Social policies that invest in such envioning is therefore a straight investment in safety as such.

“Life safety” governance in conflict zones requires coordinated participation from all parties involved. Analyses of casualty estimation and emergency response practice reveal that lacks of integration in mandate and data systems produce inefficient delays and mistakes. By contrast, civil–military partnership principles present paths through shared approaches, civil–military links, joint activities, to coordinate activities before and in emergencies (Khorram-Manesh, Burkle, Goniewicz, & Robinson, 2021; Khorram-Manesh, Mortelmans, Robinson, Burkle, & Goniewicz, 2022). In such a system, individual skills of personnel also have an important part to play. Training of emergency nurses or frontline medical practitioners must be oriented toward realistic conditions of armed conflict, including triage in a dangerous environment, trauma-informed talking, coordinated use of limited healthcare resources in conflict zones, as well as interactions with non-medical emergency responders (Mani, Kuhn, & Plummer, 2023). International

standards have also been developed to coordinate civilian and military trauma systems. Elimination of confusion about mandate overlaps is a priority in connecting paths so that a “Walker dip” of lost patients is avoided between combat and healthcare provision (Jensen et al., 2020).

Resource denial remains a persistent driver of injury. Infrastructure sabotage of transport, energy, and water infrastructure extends journeys to healthcare facilities, sullies the sources of clean supplies, and shatters those of medicines and protective gear. Targeted responses, prepositioned or mobile facilities, redundant communications, and locally managed distribution networks might mitigate such disruptions, though only if designed in advance. In this instance too, nursing and grassroots approaches in pediatric healthcare prove to be efficacious interventions because of their ability to be mobile and scale as well as because they are immediately understandable in local terms (Vásquez, 2020).

Another concluding aspect is related to issues of ethics and psychology of public guidance in disputed domains. In pollution of informational ecosystems or when a lack of trust in the governing is evident, well-crafted public instructions may also fail. This is in relation to staying credible by adhering to strict transparency of uncertainty, ensuring overall fairness in distribution of protective facilities such as shelters and assistance, as well as staying focused on fatigue in public behavioral loads of guidance messages (Van Dijcke et al., 2023; Hansen et al., 2025; Slone & Peer, 2021).

Conclusion

Life affecting an entire nation or region in a wartime setting is possible if warning systems have integrity in alert messages, when psychosocial care is integrated with protection, when civil military and human systems prepare ahead of need for how they will work together, or when communities’ resources are considered assets in all that is done. The challenge is clear that women and children are at a higher danger of loss of life, and so a program that neglects that objective will negate its own aim. A program that is sober and based on behavior science—early warning systems that include fatigue responses, standardized directions in shelters, family-focused psychosocial assistance, and conflict-prepared clinical skills—represents at present the best plan to close the gap between danger detection and those whose lives are actually saved.

References

Bendavid, E., Boerma, T., Akseer, N., Langer, A., Malembaka, E., Okiro, E., Wise, P., Heft-Neal, S., Black, R., Bhutta, Z., Blanchet, K., Gaffey, M., Spiegel, P.,

- Waldman, R., & Wise, P. (2021). The effects of armed conflict on the health of women and children. *The Lancet*, 397, 522–532. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00131-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00131-8)
- Hansen, A., Badri, E., Almalla, M., Cheran, A., ElRayes, W., Jacoby, T., Mockler, D., Massah, B., Kushner, A., Denny, J., & Wild, H. (2025). Civilian sheltering guidelines for explosive weapons in populated areas: A scoping review. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2025.117>
- Jawad, M., Hone, T., Vamos, E., Roderick, P., Sullivan, R., & Millett, C. (2020). Estimating indirect mortality impacts of armed conflict in civilian populations: Panel regression analyses of 193 countries, 1990–2017. *BMC Medicine*, 18, 266. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01708-5>
- Jensen, G., Van Egmond, T., Ortenwall, P., Peralta, R., Aboutanos, M., & Galante, J. (2020). Military–civilian partnerships: International proposals for bridging the Walker dip. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0000000000002785>
- Jolof, L., Rocca, P., Mazaheri, M., Emegwa, L., & Carlsson, T. (2022). Experiences of armed conflicts and forced migration among women from countries in the Middle East, Balkans, and Africa: A systematic review of qualitative studies. *Conflict and Health*, 16, 57. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13031-022-00481-x>
- Khorram-Manesh, A., Burkle, F., Goniewicz, K., & Robinson, Y. (2021). Estimating the number of civilian casualties in modern armed conflicts: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 9, 765261. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.765261>
- Khorram-Manesh, A., Mortelmans, L., Robinson, Y., Burkle, F., & Goniewicz, K. (2022). Civilian–military collaboration before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and a pilot survey among practitioners. *Sustainability*, 14, 624. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14020624>
- Mani, Z., Kuhn, L., & Plummer, V. (2023). Core competencies of emergency nurses for the armed conflict context: Experiences from the field. *International Nursing Review*, 70, 525–535. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12902>
- Slone, M., & Peer, A. (2021). Children’s reactions to war, armed conflict and displacement: Resilience in a social climate of support. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 23, 41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-021-01283-3>
- Van Dijke, D., Wright, A., & Polyak, M. (2023). Public response to government alerts saves lives during Russian invasion of Ukraine. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120, e2220160120. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2220160120>

- Vásquez, C., Reina-Gamba, N., Daza-Castillo, L., & Quarantini, L. (2020). Nursing interventions in children living under armed conflict situations and quality of life: A scoping review. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 58, 44–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedn.2020.11.012>
- Zamora-Moncayo, E., Burgess, R., Fonseca, L., González-Gort, M., & Kakuma, R. (2021). Gender, mental health and resilience in armed conflict: Listening to life stories of internally displaced women in Colombia. *BMJ Global Health*, 6, e005770. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2021-005770>